

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/804,597	10/804,597 03/19/2004		Rodney Kern	29020/97035C	9552	
4743	7590	01/26/2005		EXAMINER		
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP 6300 SEARS TOWER					REDMAN, JERRY E	
	S TOWER CKER DRIV	⁄Ε		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO	, IL 60606			3634		
				DATE MAIL ED: 01/26/200	DATE MAIL FD: 01/26/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(a)	+					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1					
ν λ Office Action Summary	10/804,597	KERN ET AL.						
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Examiner	Art Unit						
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Jerry Redman	3634						
Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the t	correspondence address	, 					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP. THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communi ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 l	March 2004.							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	is action is non-final.							
• •	•—							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 29-72 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 29-72 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			• •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stag	e					
Attachment(s)								
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/804,597

Art Unit: 3634

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 67-69, drawn to a method of operating an impactable door, classified in class 49, subclass 506.

II. Claims 29-66 and 70-72, drawn to a door, classified in class 49, subclass 501.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are defined as a method of operating an impactable door having an anti-frost mechanism and a door/door in combination with an actuation device which moves the door.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Group Ia-Figures 1-9; Group IIa-Figures 15-17; Group IVa-Figures 18-20; and Group Va-Figure 21.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Application/Control Number: 10/804,597

Art Unit: 3634

دوبي

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 10/804,597

Art Unit: 3634

Page 4

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerry Redman at telephone number 703-308-2120.

Jerry Redman Primary Examinar